

Doubling down on deception

The great state of Connecticut has announced that it is taking legal action against Exxon Mobil over climate change.

Attorney General William Tong filed a lawsuit against the company under the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act. He says that Exxon Mobil knew for decades that its products were causing climate change.

“They knew that our coastlines would be compromised by sea level rise and erosion. They knew that we would experience more and more extreme weather events. They knew about the wild swings in temperature.”

Tong further says that Connecticut fell short in *its* work of preventing climate change because of it. While using the term Climate Change, Tong is a believer in Global Warming as we will see his other remarks.

In a broadcast media interview about the matter, CT's Attorney General said that Exxon Mobil ignored *“devastating weather events, catastrophic sea level rises and worldwide temperature rises”*. You see, he's still talking about global warming and rising sea levels, and resultant increase in catastrophic weather events, regardless of the fact that *there are no data* for any of them. What is the significance of that?

The general public is being further convinced, of lies: utter lies. Meanwhile, a corporation going along with *reality*, rather than acting as if convinced of the lies, must now spend untold millions of dollars defending itself against that which is demonstrably, factually, evidently false. But the state has the power to sue under false pretenses, and with no consequences. Frankly, for all of you reading this, it doesn't matter whether or not you believe in Global Warming. There are no data supporting Global Warming, sea level rises, nor worsening weather events resultant from any such alleged warming. Just because a bureaucrat says it, does not make a thing true. Just because the state has the authority to bring frivolous, specious lawsuits without consequence does not make a thing true. But the public *hears* it from a bureaucrat, the public *sees* the lawsuits coming with the assumption that those suits would never be brought were the charges from the state not accurate, and thus the public *believes* that it's true. This is the power of the state and the media that supports its narrative albeit utterly deceptive.

Why, we must ask, is the agenda of either the state or the media, anything other than factual? Hmm?

Continue to love the Truth, Beloved.

Pastor