Road to Total Medical Tyranny or FREEDOM?

The Era of Fear

Over the past few months, life as we know it has been turned upside down. Businesses have been closed, tens of millions are out of work, and Easter was marked by the revocation of our religious freedom to worship. Since March, we have seen a swift and unprecedented shift in the way we live our lives.

At the beginning of March, we had never heard of a "stay-at-home order." Now, they're the common thread that nearly all Americans share. Governments and media have cited massive death tolls and infection rates as the reason for these severe and unprecedented restrictions. They claim that these intervention methods are the only reason that more people haven't died.

And while the new coronavirus does pose a health threat to many (as virtually all diseases do), there is an even greater threat on the horizon. For years, there has been a not-so-secret movement to implement mandatory vaccination in both the United States and abroad. And this movement has almost always been fueled by fear.

Measles "outbreaks" have been quickly followed with aggressive legislation and executive orders designed to force vaccination and track anyone who refuses. Media campaigns and political cliques have made it increasingly difficult for parents to resist these mandated injections, ostracizing anyone with concerns about the safety of these drugs or the morality of forcing them upon free Americans.

And while there has been some success over the years, these efforts have largely failed.

When New York City Mayor Bill de Blasio declared a "public health emergency" last year, he attempted to force parents within the Hasidic Jewish community to vaccinate their children. He blocked them from attending school or other public functions and threatened them with fines for noncompliance.

Mayor de Blasio said that he would use electronic health records to identify those families who had not received the recommended vaccines and compel them to accept the injections. They were not allowed to rejoin society until they complied with the order. They would be fined up to \$1,000 for each child that was not vaccinated.

And thanks to digital tracking of health records, authorities would find these people if they refused to comply. When asked about taking such drastic measures against American citizens, here's what the mayor said:

"It was time to take a more muscular approach. The faster everyone heeds the order, the faster we can lift it."

And we want you to remember this quote. "The faster everyone heeds the order, the faster we can lift it." In other words, "Do as we say, and you can have your freedoms back."

But freedom that can be stripped away at a moment's notice is not freedom at all, but rather the illusion of freedom. Human rights that can be so easily revoked are not rights at all, but allowances from a benevolent ruling class.

And while some of these drastic measures have been held up in court, many more attempts to pass restrictive legislation have failed. There has been an ongoing battle between those that would force all Americans to receive vaccines and those of us who would uphold our God-given and unalienable rights

to make decisions when it comes to our health and the health of our children, and both sides have experienced victory and defeat.

But this time, it's different.

The measles "outbreaks" in California and New York over the past several years have spurred enough fear to encourage a wave of new laws and restrictive authoritarian mandates by select leaders. But the number of measles cases was extremely low, and literally no deaths were reported.

With this new coronavirus, over 2.5 million cases have been reported worldwide along with over 170,000 deaths. The U.S. has been considered one of the worst-hit nations, accounting for nearly a quarter of all deaths and over 30% of confirmed cases. The world is scared, the economy has come to a grinding halt, and no one is quite sure when life will get back to normal – or if it ever will.

But the message we keep hearing is remarkably similar to the one Mr. de Blasio issued last year: "The sooner we all comply, the sooner we can have our freedom back."

Comply with what exactly? Social distancing rules? Wearing useless masks? Getting vaccinated?

Already, a race is underway to create a coronavirus vaccine. Safety protocols are being skipped, legal liability waived, and funding handed out like candy on Halloween.

So, here's what we want to do in this report.

First, we want to talk about the real data behind this "pandemic," and explain why the stories you see on your newsfeed may not be accurate. Next, we want to cover the history of vaccines and the secret planning for the coronavirus outbreak and its corresponding antidote. Then we want to talk to you about the sacrifices we must all make if we want to preserve our God-given and constitutionally protected freedom in this nation.

But first, let's review some of the most important information that you need to know about the coronavirus.

Government Lies

If you take one thing away from this report, let it be this: the coronavirus pandemic has been **greatly exaggerated**, and the draconian counter measures currently in place are not supported by the data. In fact, virtually every model used to predict the spread and devastation of the virus has been wrong.

Faulty testing, inconsistent reporting, misrepresented comorbidity factors, and the lack of per capita perspective have led many of us to believe that this illness is far more dangerous than it really is. Ultimately, these severe and unconstitutional violations of our human and constitutional rights are an exercise in power that will usher in a new age of government authority and overstep – unless we act now.

Fear and faulty data have been **weaponized** to create a world in which citizens are at the mercy of the government. These lies and twisted "facts" are the foundation of everything that we've seen over the past two months, and the resulting fear has already created a society that is willing to accept just about any requirements for getting "back to normal."

Let's start with the raw data.

As we write this, Johns Hopkins has reported 2,243,498 confirmed cases and 166,041 deaths from COVID-19. And although these numbers are skewed (more on that later), let's take a look at the global importance of these numbers.

The global population currently sits at about 7.8 billion people. Worldwide, there are more than twice as many babies being born than there are people dying. In the first 16 weeks of 2020 (even with the coronavirus pandemic), the global population has grown by approximately 25 million people. For the sake of argument, let's take the coronavirus data and put it into a global perspective.

2.2 million confirmed cases in a population of 7.8 billion people is a 0.02% infection rate, or about 1 person out of every 5,000. 166,000 deaths in a population gives us a global death toll of 0.002%, or 1 person in every 50,000. Let's put that into perspective.

Last year, 1,663 people died from cancer every day – and that's just in the United States. More people have died from cancer in the U.S. in the last 100 days than have died from COVID-19 since November worldwide.

Cardiovascular disease kills roughly 17 million people globally each year, or about 46,575 people each day. Heart disease has killed more people in the last 4 days than COVID-19 has killed in the last 4 months. And heart disease is largely preventable with healthy diet and exercise – yet we've seen no government mandates to force exercise or major initiatives to ban unhealthy foods.

And these numbers are not accurate. While the actual case count is likely much higher, the actual mortality rate for COVID-19 is significantly lower than what's being reported. In most of the world, anyone who tests positive for COVID-19 and dies is reported as a coronavirus death. We have even heard from hospital workers that everyone who has any type of respiratory issues is presumed to have coronavirus and reported as a confirmed case and that everyone who dies with some sort of respiratory ailment is presumed to be a coronavirus death!

Respiratory disease is the third leading cause of death worldwide. Adding those numbers to the coronavirus death toll crosses the boundary of bad math – **it's a flat out lie**. And there have been other reports of misrepresented mortality. Elderly people with existing conditions who test positive for COVID-19 are presumed to have died from the disease. Even those who are decades beyond the average life expectancy and who have serious diseases are considered coronavirus victims.

There are also reports of people who have been diagnosed posthumously and added to the COVID-19 death count, regardless of the cause of death. A child born 18 weeks premature, a toddler who drowned, and an adult who perished in a car accident have all been counted as coronavirus deaths because they happened to test positive.

Research recently published in the scientific literature has discovered that the tests for this virus is likely resulting in an enormous number of false positives. And this is a problem for 3 main reasons:

False positives make it appear as if a larger portion of the population is asymptomatic (meaning that they have been infected but are not displaying symptoms). That could mean that the virus is more potent than we originally thought or that the number of asymptomatic carriers (people spreading the disease unknowingly) is much lower than we thought.

With so many oppressive and dictatorial measures already in place, people falsely identified as carrying the virus could be forced into quarantine or even subjected to risky, untested medical intervention against their will.

Corrupted, inaccurate, or incomplete data is dramatically inhibiting our ability to respond rationally to this virus. Incomplete data can lead to inflated numbers, more oppressive "emergency" legislation, and increased fear (which leads to panic).

Professor John Ioannidis (an expert in medicine, epidemiology, population health, biomedical data science, and statistics) may have summed it up best:

The data collected so far on how many people are infected and how the epidemic is evolving are utterly unreliable. Given the limited testing to date, some deaths and probably the vast majority of infections due to SARS-CoV-2 are being missed. We don't know if we are failing to capture infections by a factor of three or 300... This evidence fiasco creates tremendous uncertainty about the risk of dying from COVID-19. Reported case fatality rates, like the official 3.4% rate from the World Health Organization, cause horror — and are meaningless. Patients who have been tested for SARS-CoV-2 are disproportionately those with severe symptoms and bad outcomes. As most health systems have limited testing capacity, selection bias may even worsen in the near future."

The measures taken by governments around the world are ineffective and based on faulty data. Our loss of freedom is not some noble effort to save lives, but rather a panicked reaction based on bad science in which world leaders are competing for the title of "Most Cautious."

The Death of Freedom

Nevertheless, our freedoms have been stripped like never before all in the name of public safety. Small businesses are devastated. Tens of millions of Americans are out of work and waiting desperately for unemployment checks and stimulus payments that are a pittance of what they pay in taxes (and a joke compared to the billions of dollars used to bail out corrupt industries and line executive pockets).

On Easter Sunday, police guarded the parking lots of churches to ensure that Americans could not worship. Even drive-in services designed to adhere to social distancing practices were patrolled by police. The National Review has been covering the religious persecution for some time now:

Consider members of the King James Bible Baptist Church of Greenville, Miss. Last Wednesday night the church held a drive-in service using a low-frequency radio-station signal. Everyone in the parking lot kept their windows up. Attendees were quickly surrounded by police cars ordering them to leave. About two minutes into the video you can hear a police officer yelling "Your rights are suspended!"

At least one other local church was also targeted. Lee Gordon of Greenville's Temple Baptist Church told WREG-TV that "the police started coming up and we said, 'We think we're within our rights.' So, they started issuing tickets, \$500 tickets... I don't know, it may have been 20 to 30 tickets. Everybody got one. It wasn't per car. Me and my wife were in a car together and both of us got tickets."

In some places, the police are actually directly entering churches. Last Sunday, a police officer in Chincoteague, Va., entered the Lighthouse Fellowship and was upset they were holding a church service for 16 people spaced far apart in a sanctuary that seats 293. He ordered that, per Governor Ralph Northam's order, no more than ten people could participate in the service. After it was finished, two police officers entered the service, gave the pastor a criminal summons, and told him that if he dared to conduct an Easter service, everyone attending would be given one.

And there have been other bizarre encounters with the new police state. On April 2nd, a paddleboarder was arrested for failing to obey the California stay-at-home order (despite being completely isolated and out in the ocean). He was booked at a sheriff's station in Calabasas and released on a promise to appear in court, sheriff's officials said. The man faces a fine of \$1,000 or six months in jail, or both, if

convicted of violating the state order.

On April 3rd, a woman in Pennsylvania was stopped and cited for violating the governor's stay-at-home mandate. She was driving alone in her car in her neighborhood as a way to get some fresh air while still practicing social distancing. Her citation states that she "failed to abide by the order of the governor and secretary of health issued to control the spread of a communicable disease, requiring the closure of all non-life-sustaining businesses... To wit, the defendant states that she was 'going for a drive' after this violation was in effect."

On April 7th, a former Colorado State Patrol officer was surrounded by police and put into handcuffs in front of his six-year-old daughter. His crime? Playing catch with his young daughter in a grassy area near his home. "We're just having a good time, not near anybody else. The next closest person is at least 15 feet away from me and my daughter at this point," Mooney told ABC News.

In Philadelphia, a man was dragged off of a public bus for not wearing a face mask in accordance with new rules. Shocking video shows the police wrestling with the man, whose only crime was attempting to go to work. The Southeastern Philadelphia Transportation Authority, after the video went viral, changed their tone, saying that they would no longer enforce the rule. Ironically, video shows a Philadelphia transit worker demanding that riders without masks "get off the bus," even though the transit worker himself was not wearing one.

For many of those in authority, there has been a "do as I say, not as I do" attitude. Many of our leaders have publicly failed to practice the very rules that they've implemented. But all of this is fueled by one thing: fear. People around the world are scared. They're constantly bombarded with news reports and emergency alerts showing the death toll like some kind of fundraising counter. With so many out of work and life seemingly at a standstill, they're ready to do anything to get back to normal.

And soon, the government is going to give them that option.

A Sordid History: Vaccines

Before discussing the coronavirus vaccine, let's quickly recap the history of vaccines and the reasons that so many of us choose **NOT** to inject ourselves or our children.

Vaccines have long been touted as the medical "saviors" of the modern world. The eradication of diseases like smallpox and measles has largely been attributed to the "miracle" of vaccination.

But is that the truth?

Let's review the facts about measles and the MMR vaccine.

From 1959-1962 – just before the vaccine was introduced – measles was fatal in only 0.01% of cases. And while the number of unvaccinated children has quadrupled since 2001, from 2000-2016 measles deaths decreased by 84%. But there are other factors at play when it comes to the dangers of measles.

Malnutrition, especially vitamin A deficiency, is a primary cause of about 90,000 measles deaths annually in underdeveloped nations. In the U.S. and other developed countries, up to 92% of hospitalized measles patients are low in vitamin A. Better nutrition and hygiene may explain the decline in measles mortality even before the vaccine existed.

And the numbers that are used to scare us into submission are not numbers that you can trust. About 90% of measles cases are benign and go unreported, meaning that reported cases of measles only constitute about 10% of all cases. Using reported cases to calculate mortality rates results in numbers that are artificially inflated by a factor of 10.

One of the biggest issues with vaccine safety is a lack of research. While most drugs spend months or even years in testing, vaccines can be approved in as little as a few days. There are no "true" placebo control groups, since each vaccine is tested against an older version of the same vaccine or another vaccine. And the side effects listed on the insert often contain all the same symptoms as the disease itself, making it impossible to prove efficacy.

What may be worse is that each vaccine is still considered to be in a clinical stage, as adverse reactions continue to be reported and tracked after the vaccine is introduced. Most product inserts for vaccines have the following warning:

Because clinical trials are conducted under widely varying conditions, adverse reaction rates observed in the clinical trials of a vaccine cannot be directly compared to rates in the clinical trials of another vaccine and may not reflect the rates observed in practice."

New vaccines are routinely tested against confirmed dangerous ones in order to arrive at what appear to be positive outcomes. Without a control group, these studies are incapable of accurately measuring the frequency and causal relationships of the vaccines they study.

Additionally, manufacturers in the U.S. are relieved of any liability for injury or death resulting from their products. Plaintiffs are not able to sue the manufacturer for damages but are instead required to go through the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (VICP), in which victims end up suing their own government in a specially appointed court.

The lack of adequate studies makes it hard for plaintiffs to prove that vaccine manufacturers are at fault.

And a 2011 Supreme Court decision has made it even harder.

Two hours after Hannah Bruesewitz received her six-month DPT vaccine in 1992, she started developing seizures and was hospitalized for weeks. Hannah continued to suffer from residual seizure disorder that required her to receive constant care.

In 1995, when Hannah was three-years-old, Russell and Robalee Bruesewitz filed a petition seeking compensation for her injuries. A few years later, in 1998, the Bruesewitz family filed a lawsuit against Wyeth Pharmaceuticals (the manufacturer of the DPT vaccine). They claimed the drug company failed to develop a safer vaccine and should be held accountable for preventable injuries caused by the vaccine's defective design.

The US Supreme Court finally ruled in 2011.

In 2011 in a split decision in *Bruesewitz v. Wyeth*, the US Supreme Court majority ruled that vaccines are "*unavoidably unsafe*" and effectively removed all liability from drug companies, even if there was evidence a drug company could have made a vaccine safer. [U.S. Supreme Court. <u>Bruesewitz v. Wyeth</u> 09-152; Feb. 22, 2011. Justices Sotomayor and Ginsberg Dissenting (pg. 30)]

In other words, the court ruled that there is no way to make a "safe" vaccine. That would be sort of like making a "safe" nuclear weapon.

And that brings us to the coronavirus vaccine.

The Coronavirus Vaccine

Vaccination is germane to the COVID-19 issue because everyone is practically screaming for a new vaccine which will "magically" protect everyone from COVID-19. It is interesting to note that the reason there isn't a vaccine for coronavirus on the market isn't because researchers haven't tried. It's

because their attempts have resulted in "vaccine enhancement, where instead of protecting against infection, the vaccine can actually make the disease worse when a vaccinated person is infected with the virus." More on that topic in a moment.

In 2015, a patent was filed by The Pirbright Institute for the live, attenuated coronavirus. The application claims that the new virus could be used to create a vaccine for treating or preventing respiratory viruses. The patent was awarded in 2018.

Now, The Pirbright Institute is funded by the UK Department for Environment, Food, and Rural Affairs, the WHO, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. All of these entities have been loud supporters of mandatory vaccinations and more government control based on "health concerns."

And for several years, Bill Gates has been telling us that a pandemic is coming, and in November of 2019, collaborating with the World Economic Forum, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation hosted "Event 201" where they ran a simulation of a coronavirus pandemic. Coincidence? Or part of a more sinister plan? We'll let you decide.

But the push for the vaccine has even the staunchest vaccine advocates and scientists worried. Studies have suggested that coronavirus vaccines carry the risk of what is known as "vaccine enhancement," where instead of protecting against infection, the vaccine can actually make the disease worse when a vaccinated person is infected with the virus. The mechanism that causes that risk is not fully understood and is one of the stumbling blocks that has prevented the successful development of a coronavirus vaccine.

Normally, researchers would take months to test for the possibility of vaccine enhancement in animals. Given the urgency to stem the spread of the new coronavirus, some drug makers are moving straight into small-scale human tests, without waiting for the completion of such animal tests.

"I understand the importance of accelerating timelines for vaccines in general, but from everything I know, this is not the vaccine to be doing it with," Dr. Peter Hotez, dean of the National School of Tropical Medicine at Baylor College of Medicine, told Reuters.

Hotez worked on the development of a vaccine for SARS, the coronavirus behind a major 2003 outbreak, and found that some vaccinated animals developed more severe disease compared with unvaccinated animals when they were exposed to the virus.

"There is a risk of immune enhancement," said Hotez. "The way you reduce that risk is first you show it does not occur in laboratory animals."

And yet, testing on human subjects has already begun. This week, the first patients in a clinical trial for a potential COVID-19 vaccine were given shots, marking a key step in the global race to find a cure. Kaiser Permanente Washington Research Institute in Seattle developed a COVID-19 vaccine in record time and started administering the shots on Monday.

The first person to receive a dose of the potential vaccine was 43-year-old Jennifer Haller, an operations manager at a small tech company, according to The Associated Press. "We all feel so helpless. This is an amazing opportunity for me to do something," Haller said, adding that her two teenagers "think it's cool" that she's taking part in the study.

Is it REALLY Cool?

But is it "cool" to put yourself in harm's way so that pharmaceutical companies can expedite the rollout of a vaccine that may cause more harm than good? Is this "amazing opportunity" going to orphan her children?

Professor Jonathan Heeney, Head of the Laboratory of Viral Zoonotics at the University of Cambridge, and one of the people working on a vaccine, says that coronaviruses present a particular challenge to vaccine developers.

"If you make antibodies against the spike, they can end up binding to it and helping the virus invade important immune cells known as monocyte-macrophages. Rather than destroying the virus, these cells can then end up being reprogrammed by the viruses, exacerbating the immune response and making the disease much, much worse than it would otherwise be."

This phenomenon is well known, according to Heeney, but it could still slow down the development of a vaccine. "Researchers will want to be confident that their vaccine candidates are safe – that they don't inadvertently make the disease worse – before they are tested in humans."

The promise of a vaccine against Coronavirus is too far off to play a role in ending the deadly and economy- smashing effects of our response to COVID-19. The move to start human trials of COVID-19 vaccines without proper animal safety studies has prompted outcries.

As one of the leading proponents of vaccination, the man who infamously stated that babies can safely receive 100,000 vaccines at once, one would expect Dr. Paul Offit to go along with and promote the CDC-sponsored COVID-19 story of "impending apocalypse." But Offit recently posted a statement on his Facebook page regarding this matter. His message is calm, rational, and reasonable.

Offit stated:

Which will do more harm, the virus or the fear of the virus? Why are we so scared of the novel coronavirus. COVID-19?

People are usually scared of viruses for three reasons:

One: the virus causes gruesome, disfiguring, permanent symptoms. Smallpox, for example, not only caused life-long facial scarring, it also was a frequent cause of blindness in those who survived.

Two: the virus has a predilection for children. Polio paralyzed tens of thousands of young children every year until a vaccine finally eliminated the disease from the United States.

Three: the virus is likely to kill you. Rabies kills virtually 100 percent of people who develop symptoms after a bite from a rabid animal.

The novel coronavirus currently circulating in the United States—the one that has caused us to shut down schools, restaurants, sporting events, and virtually every aspect of our culture—falls into none of these categories."

Given the catastrophic outcomes in animal safety testing for the SARS coronavirus vaccine, we must understand that the current vaccine trials will likely fail. We must realize also that social distancing alone will be insufficient to bring a reasonably quick end to the need for social distancing and quarantine.

Even if you support the use of vaccines to combat viral infections, you should be scared of this one. The rules are being broken, the safety protocols have been thrown out the window, and the mass hysteria caused by the coronavirus has led to reckless development of a drug that will likely be flawed and definitely be too late.

PANDEMIC "Oddities"

There are lots of things about this pandemic that seem a bit "off," starting with its origin. We reported in early February that reports that the coronavirus originated in a Wuhan food market were false. We reported that the virus was likely man-made and probably originated in a secret bio lab in Wuhan.

That story was widely criticized, and virtually all major media outlets insisted that the virus was natural, that its transmission originated with bats, and that it definitely started spreading from the food market. But that narrative – even according to the U.S. government – is changing.

We've now learned that the market in Wuhan doesn't even sell bats, and that the nature of this virus is unique in a way not possible through natural means. In a unique turn of events, even a major media outlet like the *New York Post* has begun to call out the media for obstructing the truth. They published an article about 3 weeks after ours questioning the origin story we'd been given regarding the coronavirus.

Here's their story:

Way back on Feb. 23, The Post ran an opinion piece by Steven Mosher saying that we couldn't trust China's story about the origins of COVID-19. He argued that the virus might — might — have jumped to the human population thanks to errors at a Chinese laboratory in Wuhan, rather than via that city's now-notorious "wet market."

The piece was widely read online — *until Facebook stepped in.*

The social media giant's "fact checkers" decided this was not a valid opinion. If you tried to share Mosher's column on Facebook, the social network stuck a "False Information" alert on top, saying that finding was "checked by independent fact-checkers" and preventing your friends from clicking to connect to the original article to see for themselves.

Again, this was an opinion column, not a news report.

Mosher cited a host of suggestive facts, including urgent government directives, the sudden trip of China's top bio war expert to Wuhan and that nation's shoddy record of lab safety—as well as gaping holes in the wet-market explanation, such as the fact that the market in question doesn't sell bats, the animal from which the bug supposedly jumped.

How, exactly, did Facebook determine that Mosher's reasoned arguments constituted "False information"? Well, in fact, it didn't so determine: Rather, it was an "independent fact-checker."

And who did this fact checker rely on for their opinion? As reporter Sharyl Attkisson notes, one expert consulted had a clear conflict of interest: She has regularly worked with Wuhan's researchers, and even done her own experiments there. Danielle E. Anderson, assistant professor, Duke-NUS Medical School in Singapore, personally attested to the lab's "strict control and containment measures."

Anderson did admit, however, that Mosher was correct when he mentioned that SARS did twice escape a Beijing research lab in 2004.

The other expert who weighed in noted in her objection: "Any responsible government would strengthen safety and security procedures in high-containment labs that will and should be working with the novel coronavirus to develop countermeasures and diagnostics."

Well, yes, any responsible government would.

Because these medical researchers took offense that someone would question the Wuhan lab's protocols, Facebook decided you weren't allowed to speculate online.

Nearly two months later, of course, Mosher isn't alone in his opinion.

This week brought hard news in the form of State Department cables from January 2018 showing that the US government had longstanding, grave concerns about safety protocols at the Wuhan lab — China's only Level 4 biohazard laboratory.

And multiple outlets, including Fox News and The Washington Post, report that top US national-security officials are increasingly of the belief that the bug came from that lab.

Currently, another conspiracy is currently circulating the web while being rigorously mocked and discounted by the mainstream media (the same media that laughed at our assertions that this virus came from a lab and not a market).

And this theory revolves around Bill Gates, his foundation, and the powerful men who support him. An article published by oldthinkernews.com summarizes the issue well:

Under the "digital inclusion" initiative, Bill Gates was pushing for India's Aadhaar digital ID system to expand around the world prior to the outbreak. The World Bank is heavily invested in the project.

Bill Gates has emerged as a global "authority" in the wake of COVID-19, funding vaccines and advising governments on how to respond to the pandemic.

Gates was busily working to establish global digital ID infrastructure long before the outbreak, which is now being promoted as a way to combat the virus.

The GSMA – the industry organization for global mobile operators – recently published a report detailing the push for digital ID systems worldwide to respond to COVID-19. As reported:

State governments in India are adapting real-time digital identification mechanisms to monitor compliance with quarantines arising from the COVID-19 pandemic...

Meanwhile, the Jamaican government is looking to fast track the rollout of its National Identification System to help it dispense aid in response to the COVID-19 outbreak..."

GSMA technologies are supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. As reported last year, the biometrics company Idemia joined the project:

Idemia has joined the newly launched GSMA Inclusive Tech Lab to support the development of innovations and future technologies that boost digital and financial inclusion...

The GSMA Inclusive Tech Lab intends to promote access to financial, healthcare, mobility, and other services by supporting initiatives around open APIs, artificial intelligence, and digital identity... The GSMA Inclusive Tech Lab is supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation."

Idemia is a central company involved in supplying the infrastructure needs of most digital and biometric ID systems around the world. Idemia also makes drivers licenses in the United States.

In 2019, Old-Thinker News reported that Bill Gates was pushing for India's Aadhaar digital ID system, supported by Idemia, (now promoted to fight COVID-19) to expand around the world:

It's not just a social credit score system spreading around the world from China that threatens the free people of the world; India's Aadhaar National ID program has the full support of Bill Gates and the World Bank as a model for other countries to follow.

Gates said in a 2018 CNBC interview that it was "too bad" if someone thought that Aadhaar was a privacy issue: (https://www.youtube.com/watch? v=TOxRR2Tw94Y&feature=youtu.be)

Those who refuse to be tagged by this system will eventually become outcasts and denied basic services.

Others are speaking out as well

Our dear friend Robert F. Kennedy Jr., who has dedicated his life to advocating for children and pursuing ethical safety practices for vaccines, recently addressed Gates head-on:

Vaccines, for Bill Gates, are a strategic philanthropy that feed his many vaccine-related businesses (including Microsoft's ambition to control a global vac ID enterprise) and give him dictatorial control over global health policy—the spear tip of corporate neoimperialism.

Gates' obsession with vaccines seems fueled by a messianic conviction that he is ordained to save the world with technology and a god-like willingness to experiment with the lives of lesser humans.

Promising to eradicate Polio with \$1.2 billion, Gates took control of India 's National Advisory Board (NAB) and mandated 50 polio vaccines (up from 5) to every child before age 5. Indian doctors blame the Gates campaign for a devastating vaccine-strain polio epidemic that paralyzed 496,000 children between 2000 and 2017. In 2017, the Indian Government dialed back Gates' vaccine regimen and evicted Gates and his cronies from the NAB. Polio paralysis rates dropped precipitously. In 2017, the World Health Organization reluctantly admitted that the global polio explosion is predominantly vaccine strain, meaning it is coming from Gates' Vaccine Program. The most frightening epidemics in Congo, the Philippines, and Afghanistan are all linked to Gates' vaccines. By 2018, ¾ of global polio cases were from Gates' vaccines.

In 2014, the Gates Foundation funded tests of experimental HPV vaccines, developed by

GSK and Merck, on 23,000 young girls in remote Indian provinces. Approximately 1,200 suffered severe side effects, including autoimmune and fertility disorders. Seven died. Indian government investigations charged that Gates funded researchers committed pervasive ethical violations: pressuring vulnerable village girls into the trial, bullying parents, forging consent forms, and refusing medical care to the injured girls. The case is now in the country's Supreme Court.

During Gates' 2002 MenAfriVac campaign in Sub-Saharan Africa, Gates' operatives forcibly vaccinated thousands of African children against meningitis. Approximately 50 of the 500 children vaccinated developed paralysis.

South African newspapers complained, "We are guinea pigs for the drug makers." Nelson Mandela's former Senior Economist, Professor Patrick Bond, describes Gates' philanthropic practices as "ruthless and immoral."

In 2010, the Gates Foundation funded a phase 3 trial of GSK's experimental malaria vaccine, killing 151 African infants and causing serious adverse effects including paralysis, seizure, and febrile convulsions to 1,048 of the 5,949 children.

In 2010, Gates committed \$10 billion to the WHO saying, "We must make this the decade of vaccines."

A month later, Gates said in a Ted Talk that new vaccines "could reduce population".

In 2014, Kenya's Catholic Doctors Association accused the WHO of chemically sterilizing millions of unwilling Kenyan women with a "tetanus" vaccine campaign. Independent labs found a sterility formula in every vaccine tested. After denying the charges, WHO finally admitted it had been developing the sterility vaccines for over a decade. Similar accusations came from Tanzania, Nicaragua, Mexico, and the Philippines.

In 2014, the Gates Foundation funded tests of experimental HPV vaccines, developed by Glaxo Smith Kline (GSK) and Merck, on 23,000 young girls in remote Indian provinces. Approximately 1,200 suffered severe side effects, including autoimmune and fertility disorders. Seven died. Indian government investigations charged that Gates-funded researchers committed pervasive ethical violations: pressuring vulnerable village girls into the trial, bullying parents, forging consent forms, and refusing medical care to the injured girls. The case is now in the country's Supreme Court.

A 2017 study (Morgenson et. al. 2017) showed that WHO's popular DTP vaccine is killing more African children than the diseases it prevents. DTP-vaccinated girls suffered 10x the death rate of children who had not yet received the vaccine. WHO has refused to recall the lethal vaccine which it forces upon tens of millions of African children annually.

Global public health advocates around the world accuse Gates of steering WHO's agenda away from the projects that are proven to curb infectious diseases: clean water, hygiene, nutrition, and economic development.

The Gates Foundation only spends about \$650 million of its \$5 billion dollar budget on

these areas.

They say he has diverted agency resources to serve his personal philosophy that good health only comes in a syringe.

In addition to using his philanthropy to control WHO, UNICEF, GAVI, and PATH, Gates funds a private pharmaceutical company that manufactures vaccines, and additionally is donating \$50 million to 12 pharmaceutical companies to speed up development of a coronavirus vaccine."

Our freedom, privacy, and way of life is under siege. These changes are happening RIGHT NOW. And unlike the violent rise of a malevolent dictator, these changes are happening quietly and peacefully right under our noses."

If we want to protect our freedoms, the time to act is **NOW**.

The Cost of Freedom

The powers that be – billionaires, the tech and pharmaceutical industries, and governments around the world – have used fear as a means to seize the very freedoms that define our society. Many of us are at the mercy of these authorities. Businesses are failing, citizens are hurtling towards financial ruin, and the rights enshrined in the constitution have been stripped.

To quote a poem by renowned author T. S. Eliot:

This is the way the world ends

This is the way the world ends

This is the way the world ends

Not with a bang but with a whimper.

The drastic oppression we currently face did not come with a bang. It came swiftly and softly as the world was fooled into believing that the sacrifice of our God-given rights was the only way to save humanity. It's time to fight back, no matter the personal cost.

And the cost may be great.

For years, we have peacefully protested and fought for our freedoms. We have fought to take our health into our own hands and resist fascist laws and censorship of information. We've written our representatives and rallied against proposed bills that would essentially force parents to inject their children with whatever drugs Merk, Gates, and the CDC deem "necessary."

And while these measures continue to be important, the time has come for more drastic measures.

The political arena has become a veritable cesspool of corruption and misinformation. The bodies that were created to protect us have been infiltrated by special interest groups that care more about power, money, and control than the well-being of real people.

Fear has been fully weaponized, and it will cost us exactly those ideals enshrined in the Declaration of Independence: *life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness*. We hold these truths to be self-evident. All

men are created equal and endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights.

America was born from a rebellion against a totalitarian and authoritative regime. Our forefathers fought and died to preserve what they deemed to be life's greatest treasure: freedom. I would echo those fateful words that began the greatest revolution in world history:

When in the Course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation."

Our cause is just.

We demand freedom.

We demand autonomy over ourselves and our families.

We demand that those elected to represent us return to their intended role as public servants rather than omnipotent overlords.

The time has come for civil disobedience

Like the Boston Tea Party or Rosa Parks' refusal to move to the back of the bus, we will no longer sit idly by as our liberty is trampled upon. We will no longer wait for the scraps of government elites and corrupt corporations to feed our families. These United States belong to the people, and the people alone can reclaim them.

Practicing safe habits as a virus spreads is important. Supporting your immune system, practicing proper hygiene, and taking care of those most vulnerable among us is of paramount importance. But the oppressive measures taken against us will cause far more damage than this virus ever could.

The inevitable conclusion to this chapter of our history will be a bargain: comply with government orders and they will return to you your freedom.

But conditional freedom is no freedom at all. And it's time we sent that message loud and clear.

So please, write your representatives. Spread the truth even if you are mocked. Remember these actions when the time comes to vote for our leaders. But most importantly, it's time to start practicing civil disobedience.

Your right to freely exercise your religion is enshrined in our constitution. No emergency order or CDC mandate can take that from you. You have the right to peaceably assemble. You have the right to free speech. You have the right to be secure in your person, home, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures. And you have the right to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

Friends, we have been grieved.

Our governments have crossed a line that they cannot uncross. They have taken freedom hostage. And soon, they will offer vaccines and other concessions as the terms for its release. It is of paramount importance that we do not accept their terms.

We will not submit to communist surveillance. We will not submit to mandatory vaccinations. We will not continue to pay taxes that end up in the pockets of corrupt businessmen. We will not forfeit our rights to travel freely, worship freely, and pursue happiness.

It's time that we end the vicious cycle of corrupt politics and the intervention of private industries on our governing bodies. It's time that we stand tall against the wave of tyranny that is upon us and declare that we will not go quietly.

God created mankind to be free.

Let no man take what God has given us.